



CWP-17231-1997

1

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-17231-1997

Date of Decision:01.08.2024

CHARANJEET SINGH

..... Petitioner

Versus

**THE UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION
AND OTHERS**

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Dharam Vir Sharma, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Arshdeep, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Bahri, Advocate with
Ms. Sukhmani Patwalia, Advocate and
Ms. Shubreet Kaur, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Ms. Mona Yadav, Advocate with
Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate
for respondents No.3 and 4.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 26.09.1997 (Annexure P-8) whereby Secretary, Labour, Chandigarh Administration has rejected request of the petitioner to refer his dispute to Labour Court.

2. On 05.04.1989, the petitioner joined respondent. He was terminated from service on 13.10.1993, however, he was re-appointed on 23.02.1995. He served demand notice under Section 2-A of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 upon respondents which came to be rejected vide impugned order dated 26.09.1997.

3. Mr. Dharam Vir Sharma, Sr. Advocate confirms that

petitioner has already retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation.

4. The demand notice did not relate to his termination from service. The demand was raised for regularization of service. The petitioner was ultimately regularized. He has already retired. Setting aside of impugned order would entail at the best reference to Labour Court which at this stage, would be more or less futile exercise.

5. Dismissed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

01.08.2024
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>