



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

130

FAO-1694-1993

Decided on :29.07.2024

Hem Raj Sharma

... Appellant

Versus

Harjit Kaur and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: None for the appellant.

Mr. Divyansh Vats, Advocate for
Mr. H. S. Batth, Advocate
for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. The present appeal has been filed by the driver, who is the owner of the offending vehicle.
2. On the last 4 dates, none had appeared on behalf of the appellant and accordingly, notice was issued to the appellant.
3. Even today, none has appeared on behalf of the appellant.
4. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
5. Liberty is granted to the appellant to revive the appeal, in case, any cause of action survives.
6. Registry is directed to send the copy of the present order to the parties on the addresses mentioned in the memo of parties.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

29.07.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No