

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(110)

CWP-3659-2024

Date of decision: - 26.02.2024

Ritu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Jitender S. Sehrawat, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari for setting aside the impugned result dated 16.06.2022 (Annexure P-7), final result dated 17.06.2022 (Annexure P-8), final result dated 31.03.2022 (Annexure P-9) and final result dated 31.01.2024 (Annexure P-10) and any subsequent proceedings arising thereof against Advertisement No.04/2020, Category No.02 for the post of Female Constable (GD), Haryana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner had applied under the EWS category in pursuance of the Advertisement No.4/2020 (Annexure P-1), under Category No.2 for the post of Female Constable (General Duty), but at page 42 of the paper-book, the petitioner has been considered in the general category. It is

further submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present case, the petitioner would give a detailed representation to the competent authority of respondent No.2 and prays that she would be satisfied in case competent authority of respondent No.2 considers the said representation, in accordance with law, in a specified time frame and in case the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, grant the appropriate relief.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent No.2 would consider the said representation in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of submission of the same.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of in the following terms: -

- (i) It would be open to the petitioner to give a detailed representation to the competent authority of respondent No.2 within a period of two weeks from today.
- (ii) In case any such representation is filed by the petitioner, competent authority of respondent No.2 would consider the same within a period of six weeks from the date of submission of the said representation and in case after considering the said representation, the competent authority of respondent No.2 is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritorious, then, the appropriate relief be granted to her as expeditiously as possible. In case the competent authority of respondent No.2 is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of six weeks.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits

of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.2 would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

February 26, 2024
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No