



104

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO-374-1990 (O&M)

Date of decision: 23.07.2024

Gurdeep Kaur and another

...Appellants

Versus

Satnam Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Ms. Gauri C. Kaushal, Advocate for the appellants.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. The present case is a burnt case.
2. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that in spite of her best efforts, she has not been able to get in touch with the appellants and thus, prays that the present appeal may kindly be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty be granted to the appellants to revive the same in case any cause survives to them.
3. A perusal of the paper book would show that even a copy of the award has not been placed on record.
4. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that during the course of the day, they would place on record the photocopy of the award.
5. In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of as

having been rendered infructuous with liberty to the appellants to revive the case in case any cause survives to them.

6. In case of appellants placing on record the copy of award, the Registry is directed to forward a copy of the present order at the address mentioned of the claimants and the respondents in the said award.

7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

23.07.2024
Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:-	Yes/No