



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

133

FAO-1583-1993

Date of Decision: 22.05.2024

SAT PAL VERMA

... Petitioner

VERSUS

PRITAM AND ORS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU TAGORE

Present: Mr. Rajeev Godara, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Pardeep Goyal, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

RITU TAGORE, J. (Oral)

1. As per the report of the Registry, appellant No.1 and respondents No.1 and 2 have passed away.
2. Today learned counsel appearing for the appellant submits that earlier Mr. J. C. Verma, Advocate was appearing for appellant. Further, none of the next kin (LRs) came forward to represent the deceased appellant.
3. In view of the above and given the prevailing circumstances, there seems to be no justification to keep the appeal on the docket indefinitely. Consequently, this appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution, with liberty to the learned counsel for the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order.

**(RITU TAGORE)
JUDGE**

22.05.2024

Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No