

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

201
FAO-1529-1993
Date of Decision: 20.01.2024

Dr. Gurtej Singh
VS
PEPSU Road Transport Corporation and others
...Appellant
...Respondents

CORAM : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: None for the appellant.
Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J (ORAL)

1. It is apparent from the perusal of the order sheets in the present case that none had been appearing on behalf of the appellant, as such notice issued to him. As per office report he stands served, despite which there is no representation.
2. In view of the aforesaid, there is no option but to dismiss the present appeal for want of prosecution, reserving with the parties, a liberty to get the same revived.
3. Ordered accordingly.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

20.01.2024
Hemant

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No