



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-14688-1999 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.07.2024

Dharam Singh
.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana
.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

On the last date of hearing i.e. 19.07.2024, the following
order was passed:-

“On 14.11.2018, the following order was passed :-

*“The claim in the present writ petition is
for regularising the services of the petitioner, who
was working as a Beldar since November, 1976.*

*During the hearing, Mr. Pamboo
informs the Court that the services of the petitioner
has already been regularised vide order dated
09.06.2004. As the petitioner was appointed in 1976
initially, prima facie it appears that by now he must
have already retired from service.*

*Mr. Pamboo is requested to ascertain as
to whether the petitioner has retired or not and if yes
then as to whether the petitioner is being paid any
pensionary benefits or not by calculating his adhoc
service, which he rendered from 1976 till the date of
regularisation i.e. June, 2004.*

*Let an affidavit of the competent
authority in this regard be filed before the next date
of hearing positively.*

*Counsel for the petitioner be also
informed about the next date of hearing.*



Adjourned to 12.12.2018.”

Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance to the abovesaid order affidavit dated 02.01.2019 has been filed, which is as under :-

- “1. That the petitioner Dharam Singh has been retired from Govt. service w.e.f. 31.10.2010.*
- 2. That his services were regularized from daily wage employee w.e.f. 01.10.2003 vide No.1434-35 dated 09.06.2004. Copy attached as Annexure R-1.*
- 3. Benefit of daily wage service has been given w.e.f. 01.12.1994 and pensionary benefits have been given accordingly copy of PPO No.11124322643226 is attached as Annexure R-II.”*

He further submits that since the services of the petitioner has already been regularized, his daily wage period has been counted w.e.f. 01.12.1994 for the purpose of pension and the petitioner is getting regular pension, therefore, the instant petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks one week time to get instructions.

Adjourned to 29.07.2024.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner, submits that the instant petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as having become infructuous.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

29.07.2024

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No