

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

399

CWP-13812 of 2001 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 04.03.2024

The Hoshiarpur Central Cooperative Bank Limited

..... Petitioner

Versus

Gurinder Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. D.V. Sharma, Sr. Advocate with  
Ms. Shivani Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

None for respondent No.1.

Respondents No.2 and 3 already proceeded ex parte  
vide order dated 14.02.2002.

\*\*\*\*\*

NAMIT KUMAR, J (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ of certiorari for quashing of the order dated 03.05.2001 (Annexure P-6), whereby, revision petition filed by respondent No.1, was partly accepted and the punishment awarded to him was reduced to stoppage of one increment without future effect.

The present petition stands admitted on 18.07.2002. Vide order dated 14.08.1999 (Annexure P-4), respondent No.1-Gurinder Singh was awarded the punishment of reduction in his pay-scale at the initial time scale viz. Rs.4750/- in the scale of Rs.4750-11250 applicable to him and it was further held that he shall not be entitled to anything over and above the suspension allowance already paid to him and the pay was reduced to

Rs.4750/- with immediate effect in the time scale of Rs.4750-11250. Aggrieved by the same, the appeal preferred by respondent No.1-Gurinder Singh against the said order was rejected by the Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Punjab, Chandigarh vide order dated 16.02.2000. Thereafter, revision petition under Section 69 of the Punjab Cooperative Societies Act, 1961 was preferred by respondent No.1-Gurinder Singh before the competent authority and the same was partly accepted and both the above orders were modified and the punishment was reduced to stoppage of one increment without future effect, vide order dated 03.05.2001 (Annexure P-6), which is impugned in the present petition.

Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent No.1-Gurinder Singh has already retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation on 31.08.2023, therefore, nothing further survives in the present petition and the same has become infructuous.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

04.03.2024

D.Bansal

(NAMIT KUMAR)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No