

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

141

FAO-1443-1993 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.03.2024

RAM GOPAL MANGLA

....Appellant

VERSUS

GENERAL PUBLIC

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU TAGORE

Present: Mr. Sumit Sinha, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjay Majithia, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Vishal Pundir, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

RITU TAGORE, J.(Oral)

1. This is a burnt case. According to the report of Civil Judge (Senior Division), Karnal, summons sent to Ram Gopal-appellant several times, received unserved due to incomplete address. It is further reported that latest address of Ram Gopal Mangla is not available and his service is not possible and showed inability to obtain the record.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the appellant submits that the appellant is not in his contact and prays this Court to send the notice to the appellant-Ram Gopal Mangla.

3. In view of the above facts and circumstances, where the counsel himself submits that he is not in contact with the appellant and as per the record, the latest correct address of the appellant is also

2024:PHHC:034655

5. However, liberty is given to move an appropriate application if anyone is aggrieved with the order.

11.03.2024
Rimpal

RIMPAL RANI
2024.03.12 12:16
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this
order/judgment