

2024:PHHC:048172

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

276 **COCp-532-2024 (O & M)**
Date of decision: 09.04.2024

RAJENDER SINGH ...Petitioner

Versus

SATISH ROHILLA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CORAM : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Rajnish K. Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Sachin, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Surender Singh Dalal, Advocate,
for respondents No.4 to 7.

Mr. Balkar Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.8.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, for punishing the respondents for disobeying the order dated 16.11.2023 passed in CWP-24995-2023.
2. Mr. Surender Singh Dalal, Advocate, puts in appearance and files his separate power of attorneys on behalf of respondents No.4 to 7. The same are taken on record.
3. Learned State counsel submits that the order dated 16.11.2023 passed in CWP-24995-2023 has since been complied with and the appeal filed by the petitioner under Section 114 of the Haryana Cooperative Societies Act, 1984 has been decided, vide order dated 21.03.2024. Copy of the order dated 21.03.2024 (in vernacular), produced by the learned

State counsel in the Court today, is taken on record. Registry is directed to scan and tag it at an appropriate place.

4. Counsel for the petitioner has not even disputed the aforesaid fact.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous and dismissed, as such.

6. However, if any grievance of the petitioner is left unredressed, he would be at liberty to raise the same in any other alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

09.04.2024

parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No