

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-16863-1997
Date of decision: 22.02.2024

Ravinder Kaur and others ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Neha Sonawane, DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari, mandamus and such other appropriate writ, order or direction declaring the action of the respondents in not granting the benefit of ad hoc service rendered by the petitioners.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that he is not in touch with his clients and thus, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty be granted to the petitioners to move an application for restoration of the present case or to file a fresh petition, in case, any cause survives to them.
3. Accordingly, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with liberty aforesaid.
4. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

22.02.2024
Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No