

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

116

CWP-3324-2024

DATE OF DECISION: 14.02.2024

PARDEEP

... Petitioner (s)

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANOTHER

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH**

Present: Mr. Harsh Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Goel, Advocate for the respondents.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking a direction to the respondents to extend the time for deposit of the balance amount by one month.

2. The petitioner has purchased a residential plot in the auction carried out by the respondents. He is stated to have made a payment of Rs.36,18,000/- and an amount of Rs.64,52,000/- is outstanding. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the time for making the outstanding deposit be extended by one month as the petitioner is trying to obtain loans but could not get the valuation of his property against which he was taking loan due to unavoidable circumstances.

3. Issue notice to respondent No.2 only at this stage.

4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Gaurav Goel, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2. He submits that he has received instructions from the respondent No.2 that they are considering the request for extension of time to deposit the outstanding amount within a period of 15 days.

5. Heard.
6. We are of the view that as the request of the petitioner for extension of time is under consideration of the respondent No.2, the petition can be disposed of with the direction to the respondent-Bank to consider the request of the petitioner for extension of time for one month.
7. Consequently, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to consider the request of the petitioner for extension of time for a total period of one month in terms of the Policy of the Bank.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

14.02.2024
SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No