

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1518-2024
Date of decision: 12.03.2024

BHAG CHAND THAPAR AND OTHERS PETITIONER(S)

Versus

STATE OF U.T. CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS
....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Bhateja, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Charanjit Singh Bakshi, A.P.P. U.T. Chandigarh,

SANJIV BERRY, J.(ORAL)

1. The instant petition under Section 226 of Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and for issuing appropriate directions for restraining the respondents No.4 to 9 not to take any illegal action against the petitioners.
2. It is, *inter alia*, contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that a representation dated 03.02.2024 (Annexure P-8) moved by the petitioners for taking appropriate legal action against the private respondents, was earlier delivered to respondent No.3-Station House Officer, U.T. Chandigarh. Now the same has been delivered to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T. Chandigarh. He submits that for the purpose of the petition, the petitioners will be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T. Chandigarh, to consider and dispose of the representation

CRWP-1518-2024

2

dated 03.02.2024 (Annexure P-8) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of State has submitted that representation dated 03.02.2024 (Annexure P-8) will be dealt with and disposed of in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

4. After hearing the respective submissions and also the averments made in the petition by learned counsel for the petitioners, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T. Chandigarh, to consider and dispose of the representation dated 03.02.2024 (Annexure P-8) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner and the decision so taken be also intimated to the petitioner as well.

5. Disposed of.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

12.03.2024
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |