

2024:PHHC:032397
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

134 CWP-3770-2024
Date of decision: 06.03.2024

SHOBHA RANI ...Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CORAM : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manvender Singh Sangwan, Advocate for
Mr. Abhilaksh Gaiind, Advocate,
for respondent No.2-NHAI.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to pay the amount of solatium @ 100%, instead of 30%, on the market value and other permissible benefits available under the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013, alongwith interest as prescribed under Section 23(2) and 28 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894.
2. Since it is not disputed that the petitioner has already availed the alternate remedy as provided under the statute, therefore, this Court does not find any ground to interfere in the matter, at this stage.
3. Dismissed.
4. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail all the legally available pleas before the concerned Court, but in accordance with law.

06.03.2024 (RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
parveen kumar JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No