

219

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-A No.1966-MA of 2017
Date of Decision: 19.12.2024

Subhash Chand

...Applicant-appellant

Versus

Anoop Bhatia and Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Saurav Bajaj, Advocate for
 Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate
 for the applicant-appellant.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

1. The applicant has come up against the judgment of acquittal dated 26.07.2017 passed by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridabad, under Section 378(4) Cr.P.C.
2. Counsel for the applicant-appellant submits that despite his best efforts, he is unable to get correct address of the accused-respondent(s).
3. Given above, the present Leave to Appeal is dismissed with liberty reserved to the applicant-appellant to file fresh in case the appellant-convict gets correct address of the respondent(s).
4. It is clarified that filing and disposal of the present leave to appeal shall not come in the applicant-appellant’s way. Also, the period for which the present leave to appeal was pending before this Court shall not be counted for the purpose of limitation.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

19.12.2024

Sonia Puri
Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No.