

SUNITA GARG V/S GURMEET SINGH BHULAR

Present:- Mr. Arun Bansal, Advocate
 for the applicant(s).

 Mr. Darshan Singh, Advocate for
 Mr. Shery K. Singla, Advocate
 for respondent.

Counsel appearing for the applicant submits that the present application was filed against acquittal in the year 2014 and he has a very good case on merit.

On the contrary, counsel for respondent no.2 submits that the judgment of acquittal is well reasoned and leave to appeal should not be granted. He further submits that in case, this Court grants leave to appeal and admits the main appeal, its turn will not come for hearing for decades, as such pendency of the appeal may be treated in-consequential for the respondent. He further submits that respondent no.2 be not directed to furnish personal bond for his attendance and he undertakes to comply with all the directions of this Court.

Leave to appeal is granted.

Respondent no.2 is exempted from furnishing personal bond.

Main appeal

Admit.

List on its own turn as per queue following the roster of NIA.

It is clarified that pendency of this appeal shall be in-consequential for any purpose whatsoever.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

August 28, 2024
AK