

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-4887-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 01.03.2024

Pankaj Bhatia and another
... Petitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Gourav Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioners.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. AG, Haryana, and
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate,
for the respondent(s)-State.
Mr. Hardik Ahluwalia, Advocate,
for respondent No.5.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

At the outset, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana submits that pursuant to show cause notice dated October 12, 2023 (P-9), issued under Section 10(2) of Haryana Development and Regulations of Urban Areas Act, 1975, the respondent-authorities had sealed the Escalator that was installed by the private respondents in front of shop No.04-055, Ground Floor, Block-B, Trehan Iris Broadway Mall, Sector 85-86, Gurugram. Even, a formal order for restoration of the site in question has also been passed. However, for respondent No.5 has moved the Director General, Town & Country Planning Department, Haryana for compounding the violations, the matter is under active consideration of the competent authority. And, thus, in the given circumstances, nothing substantive survives in this petition.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that let this petition be disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana. However, he submits that petitioners be granted liberty to file a fresh petition, if the occasion so arises.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, the petition is accordingly disposed of in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, with the liberty prayed for.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

01.03.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO