

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-6670-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 20.03.2024

M/s Rajasthan Decorators
... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Gourav Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. Vibhor Bansal, Sr. Panel Counsel,
for the respondents-UOI.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after the petitioner-firm was served with a show cause notice dated 06.08.2022 (P-8), a reply thereto has since been filed on September 8, 2022 (P-9). However, the matter continues to be pending before the competent authority.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Vibhor Bansal, learned Senior Panel Counsel, UOI, is present in Court on behalf of the respondents. He submits for the competent authority is already in *seisin* of the matter, the final order in this regard shall be passed at the earliest. Further, in reference to clause 5 of the Work Load Return, dated 29.02.2024 (P-23), he submits that petitioner, if aggrieved, could always move the authorities:

“5. The WLR duly signed is being uploaded on web site “www.mes.gov.in” which can be referred to and downloaded from this site. No hard copy is being sent. The formations/contractors seeking any change may

approach this HQ with details in support of their contention at the earliest possible.”

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition be disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents. Further, the petitioner, if so advised, shall avail such other remedies as admissible in law.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition stands disposed of.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

20.03.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO