



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

215

CWP-15086-1998

Date of decision: 06.11.2024

Ram Kumar

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

The Sub Divisional Canal Officer and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Randhir Singh, Addl. AG, Haryana
for respondents No. 1 to 3.

HARSH BUNGER, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to order dated
10.08.1998. The relevant extract of the same is as under:

“Keeping in view of the above facts, the W/C in dispute from point A to B which was running at site be restored from point L to B to its original condition and from point J to K and K to L with a curve also be dug in place of dismantled point from A to L with suitable space (as per site circumstances) near the room and a small place of worship in the interest of justice and better irrigation.

It is for one crop/six months which ever is earlier. Hence the appeal is accepted and the decision of S.D.C.O./Sorkhi dasted 9.6.98 is set aside. Parties are directed to give a fresh application U/S 17 to 23 of the Haryana Canal and Drainage Act, 1974 if they want any alternative W/C.



Decision given on 10.08.98 be conveyed.”

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the instant writ petition has become infructuous on account of the fact that water course was allowed to be restored for one crop/six months (which ever is earlier) and the said period has already expired.
- 3. In view of aforesaid submission of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant writ petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.
- 4. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

06.11.2024
Nisha-I

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>