



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208

CWP-14089-1999 (O&M)
Date of Decision:05.11.2024

Sunita Badhran

.....Petitioner

Versus

Haryana State Industrial Development Corporation Limited and others

.....Respondents

2.

CWP-5468-2006 (O&M)
Date of Decision:05.11.2024

Sunita Badhran

.....Petitioner

Versus

Haryana State Industrial Development Corporation Limited and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Chaudhary, Advocate for respondents.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)

1. Both the petitions have been filed by the same petitioner against the adverse remarks in ACR of different years.
2. None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner(s) in both the petitions.



3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents submitted that the prayer made in the present petitions no longer survives with the efflux of time because the prayer in both the petitions is with regard to challenge to the adverse remarks in the ACRs, whereas in fact the petitioner has thereafter been promoted thrice and the effect of the aforesaid adverse remarks in ACRs, if any, has been washed away and nothing would survive in the present petitions.

4. In view of the above, present petitions are dismissed.

5. Since learned counsels for the petitioner is not present today, in case any cause of action still survives, the petitioner is at liberty to file an appropriate application for the revival of the present petitions.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

05.11.2024

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No