

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-3680-2024

Date of decision: 26.02.2024

M/s Navi Harish Hospitality Private LimitedPetitioner

Versus

Chandigarh Administration and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Satyam Tandon, Advocate,
Ms. Mahima Dogra, Advocate, and
Ms. Nizna Kanojia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

A Certiorari is prayed for, to quash the order dated 18.01.2024 (P-15), passed by the Director, Government Medical College and Hospital, Sector-32, Chandigarh (respondent No.2), whereby the contract entered into between the parties on 22.12.2023 (P-2), as regards running hospital kitchen for dietary services for warded patients, has since been cancelled. And the petitioner has also been blacklisted for a period of five years.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prior to the institution of this petition, the petitioner had even served the respondent authorities with a representation/email dated 25.01.2024 (P-16), qua its concerns/grievances. However, even though a considerable time has elapsed, but the matter has not made any tangible progress. Resultantly, interest/rights of the petitioner continue to suffer.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Ms. Madhu Dayal, Advocate, along with Ms. Sukhmani Patwalia, Advocate, is present in Court. At the outset, she submits that as the competent authority is already in seisin of the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, it would be expedient if this petition is disposed of, at this stage, to enable the respondent authorities to consider and pass necessary orders

on its representation (*ibid*), in accordance with law. Further, she submits that before any such orders are passed, the petitioner shall also be heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in agreement with the course suggested by learned counsel for the respondents, and submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by her. However, it is urged that the authorities be directed to do the needful within a specified time.

In response, learned counsel for the respondents submits that appropriate orders shall be passed within a period of two weeks from today.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And the appropriate orders shall be passed within the time indicated by the learned counsel for the respondents.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

26.02.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No