

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

201

Date of Decision: 26.04.2024.

(1) CWP-14881-2000 (O&M).

**BIROJA TURPENTINE AND CHEMICAL WORKERS UNION
(REGD.), JULLUNDER ROAD, HOSHIARPUR.**

.. Petitioner

Versus

M/S PRABHAT GENERAL AGENCIES AND OTHERS

... Respondents

(2) COCP-700-2006 (O&M).

**BIROJA TURPENTINE AND CHEMICAL WORKERS UNION
(REGD.), JULLUNDER ROAD, HOSHIARPUR.**

.. Petitioner

Versus

**SHRI D.K. TIWARI, IAS, DEPUTY COMMISISONER-
CUMCOLLECTOR, HOSHIARPUR AND OTHERS**

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: None for the petitioner either in the writ petition
or in the contempt petition.

Ms. Harpriya Khaneka, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gaurav Rana, Advocate, for respondent No.6
in COCP-700-2006.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The present petition had been filed by the Workers' Union seeking a direction to respondents No.1 to 4 i.e. Private Agencies for making payment of the statutory dues to the members of the petitioner-Union or to create the first charge on the properties i.e. plant, machinery as well as finished and unfinished goods lying in the premises of respondents No.1 to 4.

The matter relates to the year 2000. When it was taken up on 02.02.2024, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.6 in COCP-700-2006 had sought some time to complete instructions about the current status. The matter was adjourned to 23.02.2024 on which date there was no representation on behalf of any of the parties. Resultantly, the matter was adjourned for today i.e. 26.04.2024. Even today also there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner in any of the petitions.

It seems that necessary grievance of the Workers' Union would have already been met through in a matter known to law and that no further grievance would survive.

In so far as the contempt petition is concerned, the reference was made to an order passed by this Court on 28.11.2000 as per which it was directed that if the assets mentioned at Annexures P-12 and P-13 have not been disposed of, the same shall be not disposed of till further orders.

Learned counsel for the respondents contends that the auction of the said assets had already happened prior to passing of the said order.

It seems that the petitioner is no more interested in pursuing these matters.

The writ petition as well as the contempt petition both are dismissed for non-prosecution.

Pending, misc. application(s), if any shall also stand(s) disposed of accordingly.

April 26, 2024.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No