

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-8382-2024
DECIDED ON: 16.02.2024

PARMEET SINGHPETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORSRESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Piyush Aggarwal, Advocate for
Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to the respondents no.2 & 3 to conduct fair and impartial enquiry in the complaints vide Dairy No.185 dated 17.01.2023 (Annexure P-1) made by the petitioner.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied, in case a direction be issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 07.10.2022 (Annexure P-1) within a time bound manner.
3. Without adverting to the merits of the case, the respondent No.2/Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T., Chandigarh is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 07.10.2022 (Annexure P-1) by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
4. A copy of order so passed be supplied to the petitioner within one week thereafter.
5. Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

16.02.2024

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No