

2024:PHHC:039111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

122	CWP-3704-2024
	Date of decision: 19.03.2024
KAMALJIT KAUR AND ANOTHER	...PETITIONERS
Vs.	
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS	...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Arshdeep, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gaurav Mohunta, Senior Panel Counsel
 for respondent No. 3.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner No.2 claims that she is daughter of TS Naik Surinder Pal Singh and she is entitled to reservation as descendent of ex-serviceman. She, pursuant to Advertisement No.08/20-2021 issued by Education Department, applied for the post of Master Cadre in Mathematics. She applied for provisional lineal descendent certificate for the purpose of applying for the aforesaid post. Her name is figured at Serial No.28 in the list of selected candidates under Ex-Servicemen Category. Respondent No.3 by impugned order has rejected her application seeking addition of her name in the service record of her father. Her claim has been rejected on the ground that TS Naik Surinder Pal Singh was discharged from service on 31.07.1999 and he did not mention the factum of birth of the petitioner during his service. As per petitioner, it is not necessary for an employee to disclose particulars of his children and get their details recorded in the service record. She cannot be denied substantial benefit on the ground that her father did not get her particulars recorded in his service record.

2. Mr. Gaurav Mohunta, Senior Panel Counsel submits that petitioner has not submitted requisite documents with them and has directly approached respondent No. 2, thus, necessary steps could not be taken. If the petitioner submits requisite documents with them, the needful shall be done after verification of documents and credentials of petitioner.
3. Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab submits that State would issue an appropriate certificate as soon as directions are received from respondent No. 3 to the effect that petitioner No. 2 is daughter of TS Naik Surinder Pal Singh.
4. In the wake of statements of both sides, the present petition is hereby disposed of with liberty to petitioner No. 2 to submit documentary evidence in support of her claim that she is daughter of TS Naik Surinder Pal Singh. Within 4 weeks' from the submission of documentary evidence on the part of petitioner No. 2, the respondent No. 3 shall do the needful.

19.03.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No