

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

132

CRWP No.1449 of 2024
Date of Decision: 15.02.2024

AARTI AND ANOTHERPetitioners

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA*

Present: Mr. Sandeep Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and also to decide the representation dated 09.02.2024 and 05.02.2024.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the respondents to decide the representations dated 09.02.2024 and 05.02.2024 moved at the instance of petitioners by passing a speaking order expeditiously.
3. Prayer seems to be justified.
4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Chetan Sharma, D.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioners.

5. In view of the aforesaid, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent Nos.2 i.e. Superintendent of Police, Yamunangar to look into the representation dated 09.02.2024 (Annexure P-9) and decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 08 (eight) weeks' from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

February 15, 2024

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No

(HARKESH MANUJA)

JUDGE