

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

210

CRM-M-8244-2024
Date of Decision:22.03.2024

SANDEEP SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Arun Kumar Kaundal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. G.P.S. Bhullar, AAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Kulwinder Singh.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.227 dated 07.08.2023 registered under Sections 406, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC at Police Station City Kharar, District SAS Nagar (Mohali).

Status report by way of an affidavit of Karan Singh Sandhu, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Kharar-1, District SAS Nagar has been filed on behalf of respondent-State. The same is taken on record.

On 15.02.2024, following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the main accused arraigned in FIR, namely, Amrik Singh has already been allowed regular bail by this Court vide order dated 21.12.2023 (Annexure P.4) passed in CRM-M-62254 of 2023. Another co-accused Sukhdeep Kaur was also allowed regular bail by this Court vide order dated 07.12.2023 (Annexure P.5) passed in CRM-M-60540 of 2023. Learned counsel further contends that as per the FIR, only attribution to the petitioner is that he knew about the fact that showrooms under sale were not owned by the Company and so it was the duty of the

petitioner to stop Amrik Singh etc., from executing the sale deed.

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.K. Takkar, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent- State. Copy of the petiton be supplied to him during course of the day.

Adjourned to 22.03.2024 for filing status report.

In the meantime, in case of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer. However, it is further directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. He shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade him from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. He shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Today learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Kulwinder Singh submits that petitioner has since joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case order dated 15.02.2024 is hereby made absolute, whereby petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

22.03.2024

pry

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No