

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-17505-1996
Date of decision : 12.01.2024

Ujjagar Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. B. S. Patwalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Amandeep Joshi, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari quashing the adverse remarks recorded in the Annual Confidential Report of the petitioner for the period from 01.04.1983 to 15.08.1983. Other prayers have also been made in the present writ petition.
2. Learned State counsel has pointed out that the petitioner had been appointed on 19.11.1962 and in all probability, he has retired from service and thus, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he is not able to get in touch with the petitioner and at this stage, the present petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.
4. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the petition in case any cause survives.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

January 12, 2024.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No