

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

205

CWP-16106-1997 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.02.2024

KIRPAL SINGH & ORS

....PETITIONERS

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. B.S. Patwalia, Advocate and
Mr. Abhishek Masih, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondents to promote them as Constable Operators from the date of their juniors have been promoted.
2. As per reply, the petitioners were appointed as Constables Messengers. There was a separate cadre for Constable Messengers, Constable Operators and Constable Technicians. There were no promotional avenues in case of Constable Messengers, thus, respondent formulated a policy whereunder all the petitioners except petitioner No. 11 have already been promoted as Constable Operator.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has no instructions from his clients.

4.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab, submits that in view of reply, the substantial relief has already been granted to the petitioners, thus, the present petition has rendered infructuous.
5.

In the wake of statement of Mr. Dhir, learned State counsel, the present petition is disposed of as infructuous.
6.

The petitioners are at liberty to move an appropriate application, if something survives.

21.02.2024
anju

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No