

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M-7958-2024
Date of Decision:22.03.2024

SATINDER KAUR

.....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Siwach, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. G.P.S. Bhullar, AAG, Punjab
assisted by HC Rajbir Singh.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

Status report by way of affidavit of Manjit Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Budhlada, District Mansa has been filed on behalf of respondent-State. The same is taken on record.

On 15.02.2024, following order was passed:

“By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.61 dated 10.05.2023 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 171 IPC at Police Station City Budhlada, District Mansa.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that case of the petitioner is on parity with co-accused Pardeep Kumar @ Babbu, who has been allowed the benefit of pre-arrest bail by learned Sessions Judge, Mansa, by way of order dated 28.08.2023 (Annexure P.3). Learned counsel also submits that another co-accused Gurpreet Singh @ Gopi was also allowed the benefit of anticipatory bail vide order dated 05.09.2023 passed by this Court in CRM-M30453 of 2023 (Annexure P.2).

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.K. Takkar, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the

respondent- State.

Learned State Counsel does not dispute the fact that case of the petitioner is on parity with co-accused Pardeep Kumar @ Babbu and Gurpreet Singh @ Gopi.

Adjourned to 22.03.2024 for filing status report.

In the meantime, in case of her arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer. However, it is further directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. She shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade her from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. She shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

Today learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Rajbir Singh submits that petitioner has since joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case order dated 15.02.2024 is hereby made absolute, whereby petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

22.03.2024
pry

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No