



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

218

CRM-M-7973-2024

Date of decision: 16.05.2024

Tej Singh @ Tej Ram and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Munish Behl, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners are seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.650 dated 09.10.2023 under Sections 406, 420, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Gurgaon Sadar, District Gurugram.

2. Reply by way of affidavit of Kapil Ahlawat, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Sadar, Gurugram, has been filed in the Court today which is taken on record subject to all just exceptions. A copy of the same has been supplied to the counsel opposite.

3. On 15.02.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioners and asked them to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners, inter alia, contends that false and unbelievable allegations have been levelled against the petitioners, who were working as drivers with the complainant-company that they, in



connivance with the officials of the Petrol Pump, had misused the petro cards given by their employer and had then raised fake bills to the tune of ₹45 lakh along with the co-accused with respect of purchase of diesel. Learned counsel submits that a perusal of the FIR reveals that it has been registered on the basis of totally vague apprehension that the petitioners had embezzled such a huge amount. It has been further submitted that all three petitioners have clean antecedents as they are not involved in any other criminal case.”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of order dated 15.02.2024, the petitioners have joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioners having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. She, on further instructions, submits that the petitioners are not required for further investigation much less for their custodial interrogation.

6. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 15.02.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

16.05.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No