

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-3460-2024
Date of decision:16.02.2024

GRAM PANCHAYAT DANGOLI

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present: Mr. H.K. Brinda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Maninder Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The learned State counsel on instructions imparted to him, by the officer concerned, submits that only after a binding and conclusive verdict of eviction becoming made by the statutory authority concerned, that the learned Collector concerned, proceeded to issue warrants of possession for thereby possession of the disputed lands becoming restored from unauthorized occupants thereof, thus to the Gram Panchayat concerned.
2. He further submits that since there is a likelihood of emergence of a law and order problem at the site concerned, therefore through Annexure P-7 an intimation has been sent to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Rupnagar, that thereby police assistance is required thus for enabling the efficacious execution of warrants of possession (Annexure P-2).

3. If so, the jurisdictional Tehsildar concerned, is directed to forthwith ensure the successful execution of the warrants of possession, and if there is a likelihood of emergence of law and order problem at the site concerned, thereby jurisdictional Tehsildar may address a communication to the jurisdictional SHO concerned, who on receiving the said request, shall ensure, that the requested police assistance is forthwith deployed, so as to enable the jurisdictional Tehsildar, to ensure the promptest and successful execution of warrants of possession (Annexure P-2).
4. All the above be ensured to be done within 3 months from today.
5. Disposed of accordingly.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

16.02.2024
Ithlesh

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No