

114

2023:PHHC: 001612

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-4935-2021

Date of decision: 09.01.2024

SANTOSH KUMARI

....PETITIONER

Vs.

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Ms. Vini Mahajan, Advocate for
Mr. Nipun Vashist, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gurmeet Kaur Gill, Senior Panel Counsel
for respondent Nos. 1 to 4-Union of India.

Ms. Swati Dayalan, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through the instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting-aside of order dated 25.08.1986 whereby family pension of the petitioner has been stopped.

2. The short controversy involved in the present case is whether the petitioner is entitled to pension after re-marriage.

3. The petitioner for the same grievance preferred CWP No.2464 of 1994 before Delhi High Court which came to be disposed of vide order dated 13.01.1997. The petition was withdrawn and no liberty was granted to again approach the Court.

4. In view of specific order of Delhi High Court, the present writ petition is not maintainable before this Court.

5. Faced with this, counsel for the petitioner expressed her inability to controvert the fact that this writ petition is not maintainable.
6. Dismissed.

09.01.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No