

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-3246-2024

Date of decision: 14.02.2024

Mohinder Pal

....Petitioner

Versus

Haryana State Warehousing Corporation and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner herein has prayed for the following
substantive relief:-

*“Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of the
Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ, order or
direction especially in the nature of Certiorari thereby
quashing office order dated 01.02.2024 (Annexure P-4)
whereby the contract has been awarded to respondent
No.3 in pursuance to the online tender dated 17.01.2024
(Annexure P-1) pertaining to loading/unloading and
handling of food grain and other notified commodities
including dead stock articles at FCI Guarantee Godowns
at SWH Yamuna Nagar bearing capacity of 8700 MTs and
for staying the operation of the impugned office
order/award of contract dated 01.02.2024 (Annexure P-4)
or in the alternative the further operation of the contract
may kindly be stayed, in the interest of justice or issue any
other direction which this Hon’ble Court may deem fit
and proper in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the
case in the interest of justice.*

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prior to the
institution of this petition, the petitioner had even served the respondent
authorities with a representation dated 02.02.2024 (P-5) qua his

concerns/grievances, but to no avail. And the matter being time sensitive, with each passing day, his interest/rights are severely marred.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate, for the respondent-Corporation, is present in Court. At the outset, he submits that as the competent authority is already in seisin of the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, it would be expedient if this petition is disposed of, at this stage, to enable the authorities to consider and pass necessary orders on his representation (*ibid*), in accordance with law. Further, he submits that before any such orders are passed, all the stakeholders shall also be afforded a hearing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in agreement with the course suggested by learned counsel for the respondent-Corporation, and submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by him. However, it is urged that the authorities be directed to do the needful within a specified time.

In response, learned counsel for the respondent-Corporation submits that appropriate orders shall be passed within a week from today.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And the appropriate orders shall be passed within the time indicated by the learned counsel for the respondent-Corporation.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

14.02.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No