

2024:PHHC: 062480

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115 **CWP-1435-1998 (O&M)**
Date of decision: 06.05.2024

HARBHAJAN SINGH AND ANOTHER **....PETITIONERS**
Vs.
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER **...RESPONDENTS**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondents to recruit them as Constable.
2. The petitioners are working with respondents as Home Guards. They claim that like Special Police Officers, they should be appointed as Constable.
3. There is no scheme of respondents to appoint Home Guards for the post of Constable. It is settled position that Home Guards are volunteers. They cannot be treated at par with S.P.O.
4. Counsel for the petitioner could not show either any policy of the State or judicial precedent in support of his claim.

5.

In the absence of policy of State Government, this Court cannot ask State to appoint Home Guards as Constables.
6.

Dismissed.

06.05.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No