

111
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-3504-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 16.02.2024

Prem Singh

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. S.K. Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing the impugned action of the respondents in not calculating the work charge service rendered by the petitioner w.e.f. 01.12.1969 to 01.05.1979.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner had served a representation dated 09.11.2022 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.1 but the same has not been considered and decided till date. He further submitted that at this stage, he will be satisfied in case the aforesaid representation dated 09.11.2022 (Annexure P-4) is considered and decided by respondent No.1 in accordance with law and in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Samarth Sagar, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. He submitted that in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, he has no objection in case time bound direction is issued in this regard.

5. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, without calling for the reply from the respondents and without expressing anything on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1/competent authority to consider and decide the representation 09.11.2022 (Annexure P-4) strictly in accordance with law and within a period of three months from today.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

16.02.2024
rakesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No