

289-2 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.498 of 2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 04.04.2024

SURESH

.....Petitioner

Versus

MOHAMMED SHAYIN

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Himmat Singh, Advocate for the respondent.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Article 215 of the Constitution of India read with Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, for initiating contempt proceedings against the respondents for disobeying the judgment dated 07.08.2023 passed by this Court in CWP-5001-2017.

2. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

3. Compliance report on behalf of the respondent has been filed by learned counsel for the respondent today in the Court. The same is taken on record. Registry is directed to scan the same and place it at appropriate place.

4. After going through the aforesaid compliance report, learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that the claim of the petitioner has since been accepted and his case for appointment has been recommended and sent to State Government for necessary approval, and that the petitioner shall be offered appointment after getting approval from the State Government, subject to the petitioner furnishing requisite documents, which have been demanded from him.

5. In view of the above, this Court does not find any reason to continue with the present petition any more. Hence, the same is dismissed.

6. However, if any grievance of the petitioners is left unredressed, he would be at liberty to raise the same in any alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

CM-2741-CII-2024

7. This is an application for substitution the name of the applicant as petitioner in the contempt petition in place of his father Diwan Singh @ Deva Singh, who has already expired.

8. Since, the contempt petition is already filed on behalf of the applicant Suresh and the main case itself is being dismissed today, therefore, no order is required to be passed in the present application. Hence, the same is dismissed.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

04.04.2024
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No