

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

395

CWP-12457-2001 (O&M)

Decided on : April 08, 2024

JUDGE SINGH

..... Petitioner

Versus

PUNJAB STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD AND ANOTHER

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Dr. Anand Bishnoi, Advocate for the respondent-PSEB.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to decide his representation dated 23.04.2001 (Annexure P-7) and in consequence thereof directing the respondents to give place of posting to the petitioner as 'Assistant Lineman' and also pay him the arrears w.e.f. 20.03.1996 alongwith interest.

In para no.4 of the written statement filed by Respondent No.1, it has been stated that the petitioner was never enrolled as Assistant Lineman and no assurance was ever given to him on his appointment, though, he participated as member of the PSEB team being a ward of the Board employee. The para nos.4 and 5 of the written statement reads as follows:-

xxx

xxx

xxx

xxx

Para No.4 That Para 4 of the writ petition is denied. No doubt PSEB is encouraging the Sportsperson by giving scholarship to the wards of the PSEB employees as mentioned in Office Order No.63/ENG-107/Sports dated 16.05.1988 (Annexure R-I). It is denied that the petitioner was enrolled as Assistant Lineman and assurance was given to the petitioner for his appointment. He has participated as member of the PSEB team being a ward of the Board employee.

Para 5 That Para 5 of the petition is denied in the light of comments given in para 4 above. In the certificate alleged to have been issued by the tournament committee, he was not mentioned as employee of the PSEB but as the member of the PSEB team. The ward of the PSEB employee can participate as member of PSEB team.

xxx xxx xxx xxx

In view of the above, since the very claim *qua* appointment of the petitioner as 'Assistant Lineman' has been denied by the respondents, therefore, the petitioner is not entitled for any relief, as claimed for.

Disposed of in the above terms.

All pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

April 08, 2024
mkkoundal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No