

228 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

AMRIK SINGH AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate for

Mr. Pawan Attri, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of the FIR No.0001 dated 02.01.2019 registered under Sections 323, 406, 498-A of IPC at Police Station Pehowa, District Kurukshetra (Annexure P-1) and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioner.

2. Learned State counsel, at the very outset, on instructions from ASI Satish Kumar, submits that present petition has become infructuous as the investigating agency has already concluded the investigation and has prepared the cancellation report. The veracity of allegations in the FIR was found to be false and the cancellation report would be submitted before the concerned jurisdictional Magistrate within a period of 06 weeks.

3. In view of the above, nothing survives in the present petition and the same stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

April 10, 2024
manisha

- (i) Whether speaking/reasoned
- (ii) Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No