



207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8241-2024

DATE OF DECISION: 13.05.2024

MOHIT JAIN

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Deepam Raghav, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Chetan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Shubham Chandel, Advocate for the complainant.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 438 Cr.P.C., seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.0206, dated 17.07.2023, under Sections 420 & 406 of IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station DLF Phase 3rd, District Gurugram.

This Court vide order dated 16.02.2024, while issuing notice of motion, granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner, subject to his joining the investigation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance to the order dated 16.02.2024, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Narinder Singh informs the Court that though the petitioner has joined the investigation but he has refused to surrender the mobile phone being used at the relevant time for making the offence.



CRM-M-8241-2024

-2-

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to handover the mobile phone to the investigating officer by tomorrow i.e. 14.05.2024 at 10:00 AM positively.

In light of the above, the order dated 16.02.2024 is made absolute.

However, the State would be at liberty to move application if so required on the ground if the petitioner does not adhere to the undertaking given in the Court today regarding surrendering of the mobile phone.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

13.05.2024
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>