

209

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-7731 of 2024
Date of Decision: 25.04.2024

Ramnik Singh @ Aashish Singh @ Aashi

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Harmanpreet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This is a petition seeking regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.202, dated 03.10.2023, under Sections 379-B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Chheharta, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the parties have already been entered into a compromise dated 07.03.2024 and on the basis of the same, another petition bearing CRM-M-15516-2024 has been preferred for quashing of the FIR. In that petition, notice of motion was issued and a direction to record the statements with regard to the compromise before the trial Court has also been issued, which is now fixed for 03.05.2024.

Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner, which is taken on record and where the petitioner has already suffered incarceration of 05 months and 28 days. He admits that the petitioner is not involved in any other case as is evident from the custody

certificate also.

Be that as it may, considering the fact that now the matter has been amicably settled between the petitioner and the complainant and the custody period undergone by the petitioner i.e. 05 months and 28 days as of now, is a person of clean antecedents as is evident from the custody certificate, which is suffice to infer for this Court that the conclusion of trial shall take considerable time, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars for an indefinite period.

In view of the above, the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

In the aforesaid terms, the present petition is, hereby, allowed.

However, it is made clear that any observation made hereinabove, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

25.04.2024
D.Bansal

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No