

2024:PHHC:023590

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

233

CRM-M-7851-2024  
Date of Decision:- 20.02.2024

SANDEEP SINGH ALIAS SHIPPA

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Randhir Singh Thind, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)**

1. The present petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.198 dated 16.08.2020, under Section 379-B (2) of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station City Lopoke, District Amritsar Rural.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he was not named in the FIR and was nominated on the basis of disclosure statement of the co-accused Jagga who was recognised by the complainant. He further submits that no recovery has to be effected from the petitioner and he is in custody since 22.11.2023. He also submits that the main accused Jagga, against whom the entire allegations have been made, has been granted concession of regular bail vide order dated 11.10.2023 much before the petitioner was even arrested.

3. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial

Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned. He shall, however, be released on the following conditions:

- ❖ The petitioner shall declare his ordinary place of residence and the mobile number used by him.
- ❖ The petitioner will not switch off his mobile and in case of any technical glitch, he has to give an alternate number, which will be available in his absence.
- ❖ The petitioner will mark his presence before the SHO concerned, after every 15 days and in case the SHO refuses to mark his presence, he is permitted to make an application before the Illaqa Magistrate, concerned.
- ❖ The petitioner will not leave the country without the prior permission of the Court, for which he will submit the copy of his passport also.

The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as imposed in addition to Section 439 of Cr.P.C.

4. It is made clear that, in case, the petitioner is found involved in any such activity once again, the State is at liberty to promptly move an appropriate application for cancellation of bail detailing out the circumstances and violation of conditions of bail.

5. However, nothing stated above shall be construed as a final expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

6. It is further made clear that this order shall not be construed as parity *qua* any other co-accused.

20.02.2024  
pry

(ALOK JAIN)  
JUDGE

|                             |        |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned:- | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable:-        | Yes/No |