

207

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.8105 of 2024

Date of Decision: 04.04.2024

Parampreet Singh @ Goldy Sandhu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:

Mr. Lakshay Bector, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdev Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

| FIR No. | Dated      | Police Station              | Sections                                             |
|---------|------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| 142     | 17.08.2023 | Dugri, District<br>Ludhiana | 323, 452, 427, 506, 148, 149, 365,<br>511, IPC, 1860 |

1. The petitioner apprehending his arrest in the FIR captioned above, has come up before this Court under Section 438 CrPC seeking anticipatory bail.
2. Vide order dated 15.02.2024, the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail which is continuing till date.
3. The petitioner seeks confirmation of interim bail on the ground that he has joined investigation and undertakes to comply with any stringent conditions which the Court may impose in addition to the conditions already imposed upon the petitioner.
4. Counsel for the State on instructions from ASI-Dilbagh submits that it should be clarified that in case the petitioner violates any such condition(s), the bail shall be cancelled.
5. Given above, the petition stands allowed and order dated 15.02.2024 is made absolute, subject to the following further conditions:

(i) Given the nature of the allegations and the other circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioner shall surrender all weapons, firearms, ammunition, if any, along with the arms license to the concerned authority within fifteen days from today and inform the Investigator about the compliance. However, subject to the Indian Arms Act, 1959, the petitioner shall be entitled to renew and take it back in

case of acquittal in this case, provided otherwise permissible in the concerned rules. Restricting firearms would instill confidence in the victim(s), their families, and society; it would also restrain the accused from influencing the witnesses and repeating the offence.

(ii) Petitioner shall not enter the property, workplace, and the residence of the victim till the recording of the statements of all non-official and informal witnesses in the trial. This Court is imposing this condition to rule out any attempt by the accused to incapacitate, influence, or cause any discomfort to the victim. Reference be made to Vikram Singh v Central Bureau of Investigation, 2018 All SCR (Crl.) 458; and Aparna Bhatt v. The State of Madhya Pradesh, **2021:INSC:192**, 2021 SCC Online SC 230.

6. It is clarified that if the petitioner violates any of the conditions as given above, it shall be permissible for the complainant or the State to file an application for cancellation of bail on this ground alone.

Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)**  
**JUDGE**

**04.04.2024**  
Sonia Puri

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes  
Whether reportable: No.