


2024:PHHC:093163



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

RSA-2227-2019

Date of Decision.:24.07.2024

Randhir Singh and Others

Appellants

Vs.

Sukhdev Singh (deceased) and Others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA


Present: Mr. A.K. Walia, Advocate
for the appellants.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

Suit filed by the appellants- plaintiffs seeking partition of property in dispute has been dismissed by the trial Court vide judgment dated 19.05.2015 and the said judgment has been affirmed by the first Appellate court on 16.11.2018. Against this concurrent finding, the plaintiffs- appellants have approached this Court.

The only issue involved in this case is that whether the Civil court had the jurisdiction in the matter or not. Both the Courts below have found that land in dispute was agricultural in nature and therefore, Civil Court did not have jurisdiction and that appellants should have approached the revenue authorities.

Learned counsel for the appellants does not dispute the factual position that suit land was agricultural in nature.



In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, no ground is made out so as to interfere in the concurrent findings of fact as recorded by Court below. No substantial question of law is found to be involved.

Dismissed.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

July 24, 2024
Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No