

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CWP-3196-2024
Date of Decision : 15.02.2024

GRAM PANCHAYAT VILLAGE KAITPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present : Mr. R.S.Mamli, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana with
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate
for respondents No. 5 to 7 / Caveator.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the writ petition.
2. Leave granted.
3. Consequently, the extant writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn. However, liberty reserved to the present petitioner to forthwith move an application before the learned Commissioner concerned, to claim therein relief for modification of the impugned order.
4. On such an application being filed, a lawful speaking decision shall be made thereons, but after hearing all affected persons concerned, besides with the learned Appellate Authority concerned, rather not bearing in mind the consensual statement, if any, made before it by the counsel for the Gram Panchayat concerned, that the respondent concerned, be permitted to harvest the crops sown on the disputed lands. The said

5. Moreover, the said application for modification of the impugned order be filed within three days from today, and, till the said application is filed, thereupto, the parties are directed to maintain *status quo*, as of today, in respect of the disputed lands.
6. Furthermore, the Appellate Authority concerned, is directed to within two months thereafter, make a valid speaking decision upon the relevant revision petition.
7. An authenticated copy of this order be provided to the counsel for the petitioner under the signatures of the Bench Secretary of this Court.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

15.02.2024
kavneet singh

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No