

MAHENDER PAL SHARMA V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

Present:- Mr. U.K. Agnihotri, Advocate and
Mr. Anshul Ahnihotri & Anuj Y. Attri, Advocater
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Ashish Bishnoi, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jitender Kaushik, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

Counsel appearing for the applicant submits that the present application for leave to appeal against acquittal was filed in the year 2013 and they have a very good case on merit.

On the contrary, counsel for respondent no.2 submits that the judgment of acquittal is well reasoned and leave to appeal should not be granted. He further submits that in case, this Court grants leave to appeal and admits the main appeal, its turn will not come for hearing for decades, as such pendency of the appeal may be treated in-consequential for the respondent. He further submits that respondent no.2 be not directed to furnish personal bond for his attendance and he undertakes to comply with all the directions of this Court.

Leave to appeal is granted.

Main appeal

Admit.

List on its own turn as per queue following the roster of NIA.

It is clarified that pendency of this appeal shall be in-consequential for any purpose whatsoever.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

August 21, 2024
AK