

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.877 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 15.02.2024

Nirmala Devi @ Nirmal Gupta and others
...Revisionists-Petitioners

Versus

Sushil Kumar
...Respondent

CORAM: *HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA*

Present:- Mr. Nitesh Singhi, Advocate
 for the revisionists-petitioners.

 Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate and
 Mr. Shehbaz Thind, Advocate
 for the respondent-Caveator.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent-Caveator inform the Court that the possession of the demised premises has been handed over to their client in pursuance of the order passed by the Executing Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioners-tenants also acknowledges the above-said fact.

At this stage, learned counsel for both the parties submit that the instant revision-petition be disposed of as the same has, now, been rendered infructuous.

Resultantly, the revision-petition in hand stands disposed of accordingly.

15.02.2024
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No