



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

345

CWP-12011-2001

Date of decision : 24.10.2024

R.P. Chaudhary and others

....Petitioners

V/S

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Ravi Dutt Sharma, D.A.G., Haryana.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners have filed the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to correctly fix the revised pension of the petitioners as Rs.7,150/- per month plus usual allowances w.e.f. 01.01.1996 and pay the arrears on that basis along with interest @ 12% per annum.

2. On the last date of hearing, the following order was passed :-

“There was no representation on behalf of the petitioners on 21.11.2013 and therefore, notices were ordered to be issued to the petitioners for 22.01.2014.

As per office report, notice issued to petitioner No.1 has been received back with the report that he has died; notices issued to petitioner Nos.2, 4 and 5 have been received back unserved with the report "not residing on the given address"; notice issued to petitioner No.3 has been duly served. However, no one was present on their behalf.

**CWP-12011-2001****-2-**

Again on 05.08.2024, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioners and notices were issued to the petitioners.

As per office report, notices issued to petitioner Nos.1 to 4 have not been received back served or otherwise and notice issued to petitioner No.5 has been received back with the report that he is not residing at the given address.

Adjourned to 24.10.2024, for awaiting the service of petitioner Nos.1 to 4.”

3. The Registry has made the following report regarding notices issued to the petitioners :

“Petitioner No.1 - Unserved. Person not residing at the given address.

Petitioner No.2 - Unserved. Person is died.

Petitioner No.3 - Unserved. Person not residing at the given address.

Petitioner No.4 - Unserved. No person of such name found at the given address.”

4. Since there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

24.10.2024*kothiyal***(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No