



204 CRM-A-706-MA-2013

MEENA DEVI & ORS
V/S
JAI PAL & ORS

Present: Mr. Vishal Gupta, Advocate
for the applicants-appellants.

Mr. Vrishank Suri, Advocate for
Mr. Akshay Jindal, Advocate
for the respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Counsel appearing for the applicants-appellant submits that the present application was filed against acquittal in the year 2013 and they have a very good case on merit.

On the contrary, respondent's counsel submits that the judgment of acquittal is well reasoned and leave to appeal should not be granted. He further submits that in case, this Court grants leave to appeal and admits the main appeal, its turn will not come for hearing for decades, as such pendency of the appeal may be treated inconsequential for the respondent.

Leave to appeal is granted.

Counsel for the respondent further submits that he be exempted from furnishing bail bonds/ surety bonds before the concerned trial Court or Chief Judicial Magistrate. He undertakes to comply with the orders if the judgment of acquittal is reversed and he would comply with the directions passed by the Court.

Given such undertaking, there shall be no need to furnish bail bonds/ surety bonds by the respondents.

There would be no need for a certified copy of this order for furnishing bonds, and any Advocate for the accused can download this order along with case status from the official web page of this Court and attest it to be a true copy. In case the attesting officer wants to verify the authenticity, such an officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for attesting bonds.

Registry to communicate this order to the trial Court without any delay.

Main appeal

Admit.

2024:PHHC:098334



CRM-A-706-MA-2013 (O&M)

List for final hearing on its own turn as per queue following the roster.

It is clarified that pendency of the present appeal before this Court shall be inconsequential in any manner whatsoever.

(Anoop Chitkara)
Judge

01.08.2024
Sonia Puri