

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-7617-2024
DECIDED ON: 14.02.2024

RAJ KUMAR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Munish Raj Chaudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Vipul Babuta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. The jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C., has been invoked for directing respondents No.1 to 5 to take appropriate action on the basis of representation dated 07.02.2024 (Annexure P-8) with further prayer to call upon the status report against the representation dated 07.02.2024 (Annexure P-8).
2. At the outset, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar Rural to decide the representation dated 07.02.2024 (Annexure P-8) submitted by the petitioner in a time bound manner.
3. Notice to the official respondents only at this state.
4. At the asking of Court, Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab having served with an advance notice, accepts the same on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab. Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to supply requisite copies of the petition to learned State counsel during the course of day.

5. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar Rural is directed to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated 07.02.2024 (Annexure P-8) and to decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. In view of the afore-said terms, the present petition is disposed off.

14.02.2024

Sham

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>