

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

233

CRM-16533-2016 in/and
CRM-A-1020-MA-2016
Date of Decision:07.05.2024

SUBHASH KUMAR

.....Appellant

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA & ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Mr. Sushil Kumar Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Raman Chawla, Advocate for the applicant-appellant.
Mr. Sumit Jain, Additional AG, Haryana.
Mr. P.K. Ganga, Advocate for respondent No.2.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

A written request for adjournment has been received on behalf of counsel for the applicant-appellant so as to adjourn the matter on the plea that he is not feeling well.

A perusal of the record would reveal that on 10.02.2020, written request for adjournment was circulated by counsel for the applicant-appellant and then the matter was adjourned to 03.09.2020. On 13.02.2023, again the request was made by counsel for the applicant-appellant and matter was adjourned to 20.04.2023. Again on 20.04.2023, request for adjournment was made by counsel for the applicant-appellant and the matter was adjourned, making it clear that it would be the last time that matter was adjourned.

Today again, a written request for adjournment has been received from counsel for the applicant-appellant, which is not at all justified. It appears that applicant-appellant is simply wasting the time of this Court. No justification for adjournment.

The present application for condonation of delay is dismissed. Consequently, leave to appeal is also dismissed.

07.05.2024

pry

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE